

REMARKS

Double Patenting

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-12, 14, 16, and 17 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4, 6-8, 11-12, 20-21, and 24-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,924,027.

Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the rejection. Applicant, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-12, 14, 16, and 17 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.


The Examiner has rejected claim 19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-14, 19-21, 24, and 27-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,924,027 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,515. Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the rejection. Applicant, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejections of claim 19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call Stephen M. De Klerk at (408) 720-8300.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account
No. 02-2666. Any necessary extension of time for response not already requested
is hereby requested. Please charge any corresponding fee to Deposit Account
No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP



Date: June 8, 2006

Stephen M. De Klerk
Reg. No. 46,503

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025-1026
(408) 720-8300